

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	<b>O</b> . 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,284		08/09/2001	Daniel Hawiger	600-1-081 CON/CIP	2660
23565	7590	07/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	ER & JAC		SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1644	
				DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/925,284	HAWIGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some annual processed by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thire eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u></u> •					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	· .				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/		ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	sign phoney under 55 0.0.0. S	3 1 1 9(a)-(a) 01 (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		opplication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu		on the second of				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	<u> </u>				
	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200507				

Application/Control Number: 09/925,284 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. Claims 6-9 are under consideration.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed invention. The legal standard for sufficiency of a patent's (or a specification's) written description is whether that description "reasonably conveys to the artisan that the inventor had possession at that time of the...claimed subject matter", Vas-Cath, Inc. V. Mahurkar, 19 U.S.P.Q.2d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 1991). In the instant case, the specification does not convey to the artisan that the applicant had possession at the time of invention of the conjugate recited in the claimed method.

The instant claims recite use of an antiDEC antibody which binds human DEC-205. The term human DEC-205 would appear to encompass full length human DEC-205 as well as mutants and variants or alleles of said human protein (for example see specification, page 28 of parent application 09/586704). However, only full length murine DEC-205 protein is disclosed in the specification of the parent application. The sequence listing discloses two peptides derived from human DEC 205 of 30 and 25 amino acids respectively. However, human DEC-205 contains approximately 1800 amino acids. There is no disclosure in the specification of the identity of the approximately 1750 other amino acids or purified human DEC-205.

Thus, whilst the specification of parent application 09/586704 discloses murine DEC-205 protein, the term human DEC-205 would appear to encompass full length

Art Unit: 1644

human DEC-205 and undescribed mutants and variants or alleles of said human protein. Thus, the claims would encompass use of antibodies which bound full length human DEC-205 as well as undescribed mutants and variants or alleles of human DEC-205.

In view of the aforementioned problems regarding description of the claimed invention, the specification does not provide an adequate written description of the invention claimed herein. See The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Company, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1404-7 (Fed. Cir. 1997). In University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co., 39 U.S.P.Q.2d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 1995) the inventors claimed a genus of DNA species encoding insulin in different vertebrates or mammals, but had only described a single species of cDNA which encoded rat insulin. The court held that only the nucleic acids species described in the specification (i.e. nucleic acids encoding rat insulin) met the description requirement and that the inventors were not entitled to a claim encompassing a genus of nucleic acids encoding insulin from other vertebrates, mammals or humans, id. at 1240. The Federal Circuit has held that if an inventor is "unable to envision the detailed constitution of a gene so as to distinguish it from other materials....conception has not been achieved until reduction to practice has occurred", Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd., 18 U.S.P.Q.2d 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Attention is also directed to the decision of The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Company (CAFC, July 1997) wherein is stated:

The description requirement of the patent statute requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See In re Wilder, 736 F.2d 1516, 222 USPQ 369, 372-373 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (affirming rejection because the specification does "little more than outlin[e] goals appellants hope the claimed invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate."). Accordingly, naming a type of material generally known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of, is not a description of that material. Thus, as we have previously held, a cDNA is not defined or described by the mere name "cDNA," even if accompanied by the name of the protein that it encodes, but requires a kind of specificity usually achieved by means of the recitation of the sequence of nucleotides that make up the cDNA. See Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1171, 25 USPQ2d at 1606.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,284

Art Unit: 1644

Regarding applicants comments and the Nussenzweig declaration, the cloned human DEC-205 sequence referred to is not disclosed in the specification of the instant application. Regarding the amended claims, human DEC-205 is approximately 1800 amino acids in length. The recitation in the claim of a 19 or 25 amino acid sequence derived from said molecule in itself does not provide written description of a molecule that is almost 1800 amino acids in length. The claims encompass use of antibodies which bind any immunogenic epitope on the approximately 1775 undisclosed amino acids of DEC 205 and the specification does not disclose the identity of said amino acids or disclose purified human DEC-205 protein. Regarding Figure 6 in parent application 09/586704 (and the reference to said Figure in pages 10 and 56 of the specification), said Figure refers to experiments performed in mice, not humans.

4. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification for the recitation of "human DEC-205 protein comprising an amino acid sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NOs 8 and 9" in claim 6. Whilst the specification discloses SEQ ID NOs 8 and 9 as peptides derived from DEC 205, there is no disclosure in the specification as originally filed of a DEC-205 protein comprising said peptides wherein the molecule could have any amino acids in association with the aformentioned sequences recited in the claim. There is no written description in the specification as originally filed for the scope of the claimed invention (eg. the claimed invention constitutes new matter).

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1600 \ \( \exicos\)

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644